

Yes

Yes. but...

Richard J. Nix

ESTA

Technical Standards Manager

Brooklyn, NY 11202-3200

standards@esta.org

271 Cadman Plaza: PO Box 23200

0

 \circ

BSR E1.31 Lightweight streaming protocol for transport of DMX512 using ACN

First Public Review Response Form

Referenced document: BSR E1.31, *Lightweight streaming protocol for transport of DMX512 using ACN* (Document number CP/2014-1009r6a)

ANSI Public review period: 29 March through 13 May 2024

Question: In your opinion, do you think the requirements of BSR E1.31, *Lightweight streaming protocol for transport of DMX512 using ACN* (Document number CP/2014-1009r6a) are reasonable, and adequately address the intended subject matter?

Please answer the question using one of the options below. Select "Yes", "Yes, but..." (provide comments to support your opinion), or "No, with reasons" (the document's requirements are unacceptable or unreasonable). Note that you are offering your opinion, which is in no way construed as a vote of acceptance or approval.

Please record your comments in the field provided on the next page

No, with reasons	0	to be changed to	earn you	r unqualified "Ye	es". Give a j	be changed and how it would need ustification for the change, and esolve your concerns.	
I hereby grant ESTA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights to use my comments, and I understand that I acquire no rights as a joint author in any publication in which my comments are used.							
Signature:							
Name:					Date:		
Company:							
Street Address:							
City:				Telephone:			
State/Province:				Fax:			
Postal Code:		Email	•				
Country:							
		eted Public Review electronic format to			send a cop	by of the completed Public	

You should receive an acknowledgment from ESTA within 7 days of sending your comments. If you don't, please inquire.

Secretary

Board of Standards Review

25 West 43rd Street, 4th Floor

New York, NY 10036

psa@ansi.org

American National Standards Institute

Please record below any comments or objections to the draft standard. Please be as specific as possible - if you recommend making changes, cite what text needs to change, how it needs to change and why. Do not pose rhetorical questions that require the reader to infer your reason or objection. The committee may decline to make a change to resolve an objection if it cannot determine what change would be needed to satisfy the commenter. <i>Mark-up versions of the draft standard will not be accepted.</i>							